

On Compression Functions over Groups with Applications to Homomorphic Encryption

(arXiv:2208.02468)

Koji Nuida

Institute of Mathematics for Industry (IMI), Kyushu University
(`nuida@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp`)

Algebra and Cryptology Seminar
(Stevens Institute of Technology, USA)
September 29, 2025

- Introduction to Fully Homomorphic Encryption
- Group-Theoretical Approach to FHE
- On Compression Functions over Groups

- Introduction to Fully Homomorphic Encryption
- Group-Theoretical Approach to FHE
- On Compression Functions over Groups

- Plaintext m is concealed by encrypting it
 - Let $[[m]]$ denote a ciphertext for m
- **Encryption** $\text{Enc}_{\text{pk}}: m \mapsto [[m]]$
 - pk: public encryption key
- **Decryption** $\text{Dec}_{\text{sk}}: [[m]] \mapsto m$
 - sk: secret decryption key
- It should be computationally hard to guess any information on m from $[[m]]$ and pk (w/o sk)

Homomorphic Encryption (HE)

- Can compute a function for plaintexts inside ciphertexts w/o decryption
- **Homomorphic evaluation (operation)**
$$\text{Eval}_{\text{ek}}(f; [[m_1]], \dots, [[m_k]]) = [[f(m_1, \dots, m_k)]]$$
 - ek: public evaluation key
- E.g., $[[m_1]] \boxplus [[m_2]] = [[m_1 + m_2]]$,
 $[[b_1]] \wedge [[b_2]] = [[b_1 \wedge b_2]]$

- HE for **arbitrary** function
 - by combining hom. eval. of some fundamental operations (e.g., \neg , \oplus , \wedge , ...)
- Firstly realized by [Gentry, 2009]
- Almost all known FHE are lattice-based
- Some are based on approximate GCD
 - except for (doubtful) preprints on others

- $[[m]] = p\alpha + 2r + m \ (m \in \{0, 1\})$
 - p : secret prime, α, r : random
- $\text{Dec}([[[m]]]) = ([m] \bmod p) \bmod 2$ **if the “noise” $2r$ is sufficiently small**
- $[[m_1]] \boxplus [[m_2]] = [[m_1]] + [[m_2]]$
 - $= p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 2(r_1 + r_2) + m_1 + m_2$
- $[[m_1]] \boxtimes [[m_2]] = [[m_1]] \times [[m_2]]$
 - $= p(\text{some complicated term})$
 $+ 2(\text{some complicated term}) + m_1 \times m_2$

- Ciphertext noise grows via Eval
 - Dec will fail finally
- A “bootstrapping” can reset the noise
 - but generally a heavy operation
- All known FHE are noise-based
- **Open Problem: not noise-based FHE**

- Introduction to Fully Homomorphic Encryption
- Group-Theoretical Approach to FHE
- On Compression Functions over Groups

Group Function (Univariate Case)

- on group G means a sequence of the form

$$g_0 x g_1 x g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} x g_n$$

($g_i \in G$, x : variable)

- Regarded as a function

$$G \rightarrow G, h \mapsto g_0 h g_1 h g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} h g_n$$

- E.g., for $F(x) := x g x^2 g'$, $F(h) = h g h^2 g' \in G$

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

(1) Encode bit b into an element σ_b of a group G

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

- (1) Encode bit b into an element σ_b of a group G
- (2) For each fundamental bit operation, implement it as a group function on G
 - E.g., $F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_{b_1}, \sigma_{b_2}) = \sigma_{\text{AND}(b_1, b_2)}$, i.e.,
$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_0, \sigma_0) &= F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \\ &= F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_0) = \sigma_0, \quad F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_1) = \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

- (1) Encode bit b into an element σ_b of a group G
- (2) For each fundamental bit operation, implement it as a group function on G
 - E.g., $F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_{b_1}, \sigma_{b_2}) = \sigma_{\text{AND}(b_1, b_2)}$, i.e.,
$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_0, \sigma_0) &= F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \\ &= F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_0) = \sigma_0, \quad F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_1) = \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$
- (3) Construct HE for plaintext space G ; i.e., hom. eval. of multiplication \cdot_G is possible

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

- (1) Encode bit b into an element σ_b of a group G
- (2) For each fundamental bit operation, implement it as a group function on G
 - E.g., $F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_{b_1}, \sigma_{b_2}) = \sigma_{\text{AND}(b_1, b_2)}$, i.e.,
$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_0, \sigma_0) &= F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \\ &= F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_0) = \sigma_0, \quad F_{\text{AND}}(\sigma_1, \sigma_1) = \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$
- (3) Construct HE for plaintext space G ; i.e., hom. eval. of multiplication \cdot_G is possible
 - \rightsquigarrow By defining $\text{Enc}'(b_i) := [[\sigma_{b_i}]]$, e.g.,
$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Eval}'(\text{AND}; [[b_1]]', [[b_2]]') \\ &:= \text{Eval}(F_{\text{AND}}; [[\sigma_{b_1}]], [[\sigma_{b_2}]]) \\ &= [[\sigma_{\text{AND}(b_1, b_2)}]] = [[\text{AND}(b_1, b_2)]]' \end{aligned}$$

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

- Thus constructing FHE is reduced to (2) & (3)
 - where (3) is the most difficult (unsolved)

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

- Thus constructing FHE is reduced to (2) & (3)
 - where (3) is the most difficult (unsolved)
- [Grigoriev & Ponomarenko, 2004] firstly mentioned such an approach
 - where (2) uses [Barrington et al., 1990]

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

- Thus constructing FHE is reduced to (2) & (3)
 - where (3) is the most difficult (unsolved)
- [Grigoriev & Ponomarenko, 2004] firstly mentioned such an approach
 - where (2) uses [Barrington et al., 1990]
- [Ostrovsky & Skeith III, 2008] re-invented
 - where (2) uses commutators in simple groups

An Ongoing Approach to FHE

- Thus constructing FHE is reduced to (2) & (3)
 - where (3) is the most difficult (unsolved)
- [Grigoriev & Ponomarenko, 2004] firstly mentioned such an approach
 - where (2) uses [Barrington et al., 1990]
- [Ostrovsky & Skeith III, 2008] re-invented
 - where (2) uses commutators in simple groups
- [N., 2021] formalized a similar approach
 - Preprint in 2014

- Called “approximate-then-adjust” method

Step (2) in [N., 2021]

- Called “approximate-then-adjust” method
- E.g., when $\sigma_0 := 1 \in G$ and $\sigma_1 := \sigma$, OR is approximated by multiplication $\sigma_{b_1} \sigma_{b_2}$

- Called “approximate-then-adjust” method
- E.g., when $\sigma_0 := 1 \in G$ and $\sigma_1 := \sigma$, OR is approximated by multiplication $\sigma_{b_1}\sigma_{b_2}$
 - $\sigma_0\sigma_0 = 1 = \sigma_0 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(0,0)}$
 - $\sigma_0\sigma_1 = \sigma = \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(0,1)}$
 - $\sigma_1\sigma_0 = \sigma = \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(1,0)}$
 - But $\sigma_1\sigma_1 = \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(1,1)}$

- Called “approximate-then-adjust” method
- E.g., when $\sigma_0 := 1 \in G$ and $\sigma_1 := \sigma$, OR is approximated by multiplication $\sigma_{b_1} \sigma_{b_2}$
 - $\sigma_0 \sigma_0 = 1 = \sigma_0 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(0,0)}$
 - $\sigma_0 \sigma_1 = \sigma = \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(0,1)}$
 - $\sigma_1 \sigma_0 = \sigma = \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(1,0)}$
 - But $\sigma_1 \sigma_1 = \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{OR}(1,1)}$
- The incorrect result σ^2 should be adjusted to σ while keeping 1 and σ unchanged
 - by a group function F s.t. $F(1) = 1$ and $F(\sigma) = F(\sigma^2) = \sigma$

- When $\sigma_1 = \sigma$ has order 3, the same function F can adjust the incorrect results of the followings (taken from [N., arXiv 2022]):
 - OR: $\sigma_{b_1}\sigma_{b_2}$
 - NAND (NOT AND): $\sigma\sigma_{b_1}\sigma_{b_2}$
 - XOR: $\sigma_{b_1}^2\sigma_{b_2}$
 - EQ (=): $\sigma^2\sigma_{b_1}\sigma_{b_2}$
 - 3-NEQ (NOT $b_1 = b_2 = b_3$): $\sigma_{b_1}\sigma_{b_2}\sigma_{b_3}$

- For the “compression” function F s.t. $F(1) = 1$ and $F(\sigma) = F(\sigma^2) = \sigma$, the following function on $G = S_5$ was found by a heuristic approach where $\sigma := (1\ 2\ 3)$:

$$F(x) = (1\ 5)(2\ 3\ 4)x(2\ 3\ 4)x(3\ 4)x^2(2\ 3)(4\ 5) \\ \cdot x(2\ 3\ 4)x(3\ 4)x^2(1\ 4\ 2\ 5)$$

- For the “compression” function F s.t. $F(1) = 1$ and $F(\sigma) = F(\sigma^2) = \sigma$, the following function on $G = S_5$ was found by a heuristic approach where $\sigma := (1\ 2\ 3)$:

$$F(x) = (1\ 5)(2\ 3\ 4)x(2\ 3\ 4)x(3\ 4)x^2(2\ 3)(4\ 5) \\ \cdot x(2\ 3\ 4)x(3\ 4)x^2(1\ 4\ 2\ 5)$$

- **Question: More systematic approach?**
 - More efficient construction?
 - (Im)possibility on smaller groups, e.g., S_4 ?
(Step (3) might be easier)

Remark on Step (3)

- HE over a group G will be obtained when
 \exists surj. group hom. $\varphi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ s.t.
 - preimage of $g \in G$ can be efficiently sampled (encryption),
 - computation of φ (decryption) is efficient when a secret key sk is given, but is hard when sk is not given

Remark on Step (3)

- HE over a group G will be obtained when \exists surj. group hom. $\varphi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ s.t.
 - preimage of $g \in G$ can be efficiently sampled (encryption),
 - computation of φ (decryption) is efficient when a secret key sk is given, but is hard when sk is not given
- Candidate over any finite G was given in [Grigoriev & Ponomarenko, 2004] but broken by [Choi et al., 2007]
 - Even if it were not broken, the HE is not compact (\tilde{G} is an **infinite** group)

- Introduction to Fully Homomorphic Encryption
- Group-Theoretical Approach to FHE
- On Compression Functions over Groups

- Re-formulating existence of such a function by existence of solutions for certain equations
- \exists , when G is a finite solvable group (including Abelian groups and S_n , $n \leq 4$)
- If \exists on S_5 , then \exists on A_5 (\therefore no advantage of considering S_5)
- Shortest possible expression on A_5

Definition 1

A compression function of **type** $(\sigma; (\mu_i, \rho_i)_{i=1}^L)$, **size** ℓ , and **exponent** $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_\ell)$ is a group function of the form

$$F(x) = g_0 x^{e_1} g_1 x^{e_2} \cdots g_{\ell-1} x^{e_\ell} g_\ell$$

s.t. $F(\sigma^{\mu_i}) = \rho_i \ (\forall i)$.

Definition 1

A compression function of **type** $(\sigma; (\mu_i, \rho_i)_{i=1}^L)$, **size** ℓ , and **exponent** $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_\ell)$ is a group function of the form

$$F(x) = g_0 x^{e_1} g_1 x^{e_2} \cdots g_{\ell-1} x^{e_\ell} g_\ell$$

$$\text{s.t. } F(\sigma^{\mu_i}) = \rho_i \ (\forall i).$$

- Here we only consider “normalized” types w/
 $(\mu_1, \rho_1) = (0, 1)$ (i.e., $F(1) = 1$)
- Our target function is normalized of type
 $(\sigma; (0, 1), (1, \sigma), (2, \sigma))$ s.t. $\text{ord}(\sigma) = 3$

Lemma 2

$\exists F$ of (normalized) type $(\sigma; (\mu_i, \rho_i)_{i=1}^L)$, size ℓ , and exponent (e_1, \dots, e_ℓ) over a group G

\iff the equations

$$y_1^{\mu_1 e_1} y_2^{\mu_2 e_2} \cdots y_\ell^{\mu_\ell e_\ell} = \rho_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, L)$$

have a solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell) \in G^\ell$ w/ the **conjugacy condition**: $\forall i, \tau_i$ is conjugate to σ in G .

Lemma 2

$\exists F$ of (normalized) type $(\sigma; (\mu_i, \rho_i)_{i=1}^L)$, size ℓ , and exponent (e_1, \dots, e_ℓ) over a group G
 \iff the equations

$$y_1^{\mu_i e_1} y_2^{\mu_i e_2} \dots y_\ell^{\mu_i e_\ell} = \rho_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, L)$$

have a solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell) \in G^\ell$ w/ the **conjugacy condition**: $\forall i, \tau_i$ is conjugate to σ in G .

Corollary 3

\exists our target function \iff the equations $y_1^{e_1} \dots y_\ell^{e_\ell} = \sigma$ and $y_1^{2e_1} \dots y_\ell^{2e_\ell} = \sigma$ have a solution w/ the conjugacy condition.

Re-formulation of Problem

(Proof)

$$F(\sigma^{\mu_i}) = g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} g_\ell$$

Re-formulation of Problem

(Proof)

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma^{\mu_i}) &= g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_0^{-1}) \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma^{\mu_i e_2} (g_0 g_1)^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1}) \cdot g_0 g_1 \cdots g_\ell \end{aligned}$$

Re-formulation of Problem

(Proof)

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma^{\mu_i}) &= g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_0^{-1}) \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma^{\mu_i e_2} (g_0 g_1)^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1}) \cdot g_0 g_1 \cdots g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma g_0^{-1})^{\mu_i e_1} \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma (g_0 g_1)^{-1})^{\mu_i e_2} \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1})^{\mu_i e_\ell} \cdot g_0 g_1 \cdots g_\ell \end{aligned}$$

Re-formulation of Problem

(Proof)

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma^{\mu_i}) &= g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_0^{-1}) \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma^{\mu_i e_2} (g_0 g_1)^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1}) \cdot g_0 g_1 \cdots g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma g_0^{-1})^{\mu_i e_1} \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma (g_0 g_1)^{-1})^{\mu_i e_2} \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1})^{\mu_i e_\ell} \cdot g_0 g_1 \cdots g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma g_0^{-1})^{\mu_i e_1} \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma (g_0 g_1)^{-1})^{\mu_i e_2} \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1})^{\mu_i e_\ell} \quad (\because F(1) = 1) \end{aligned}$$

Re-formulation of Problem

(Proof)

$$\begin{aligned} F(\sigma^{\mu_i}) &= g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma^{\mu_i e_1} g_0^{-1}) \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma^{\mu_i e_2} (g_0 g_1)^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma^{\mu_i e_\ell} (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1}) \cdot g_0 g_1 \cdots g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma g_0^{-1})^{\mu_i e_1} \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma (g_0 g_1)^{-1})^{\mu_i e_2} \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1})^{\mu_i e_\ell} \cdot g_0 g_1 \cdots g_\ell \\ &= (g_0 \sigma g_0^{-1})^{\mu_i e_1} \cdot (g_0 g_1 \sigma (g_0 g_1)^{-1})^{\mu_i e_2} \\ &\quad \cdots (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1} \sigma (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{\ell-1})^{-1})^{\mu_i e_\ell} \quad (\because F(1) = 1) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tau_j := g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{j-1} \sigma (g_0 g_1 \cdots g_{j-1})^{-1}$.



Lemma 4

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then \nexists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ s.t. $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute or τ_2, \dots, τ_ℓ commute.

Note: Here conj. cond. is not concerned.

Lemma 4

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then \nexists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ s.t. $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute or τ_2, \dots, τ_ℓ commute.

Note: Here conj. cond. is not concerned.

(Proof) When $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute,

Lemma 4

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then \nexists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ s.t. $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute or τ_2, \dots, τ_ℓ commute.

Note: Here conj. cond. is not concerned.

(Proof) When $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_1}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_1}} &= \rho_{i_1} \\ &= \rho_{i_2} = (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_2}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_2}} . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then \nexists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ s.t. $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute or τ_2, \dots, τ_ℓ commute.

Note: Here conj. cond. is not concerned.

(Proof) When $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_1}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_1}} &= \rho_{i_1} \\ &= \rho_{i_2} = (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_2}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_2}} . \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}} = \tau_\ell^{-e_\ell} \quad (\because \mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1).$$

Lemma 4

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then \nexists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ s.t. $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute or τ_2, \dots, τ_ℓ commute.

Note: Here conj. cond. is not concerned.

(Proof) When $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_1}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_1}} &= \rho_{i_1} \\ &= \rho_{i_2} = (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_2}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}} = \tau_\ell^{-e_\ell} (\because \mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1).$$

$$\therefore (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_1}} = \tau_\ell^{-\mu_{i_1} e_\ell}.$$

Lemma 4

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then \nexists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ s.t. $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute or τ_2, \dots, τ_ℓ commute.

Note: Here conj. cond. is not concerned.

(Proof) When $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1}$ commute,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_1}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_1}} &= \rho_{i_1} \\ &= \rho_{i_2} = (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_2}} \tau_\ell^{e_\ell \mu_{i_2}}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}} = \tau_\ell^{-e_\ell} (\because \mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1).$$

$$\therefore (\tau_1^{e_1} \cdots \tau_{\ell-1}^{e_{\ell-1}})^{\mu_{i_1}} = \tau_\ell^{-\mu_{i_1} e_\ell}.$$

$$\therefore \rho_{i_1} = 1. \text{ Contradiction.}$$

Remark: Lemma 4 (and some of the following results) can be slightly generalized.

Remark: Lemma 4 (and some of the following results) can be slightly generalized.

Corollary 5

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then $\nexists F$ of size ≤ 2 .

Corollary 6

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), then $\nexists F$ over Abelian groups.

(Proof) The commutativity condition in Lemma 4 is trivially satisfied in these cases. □

Lemma 7

If

- $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} = \sigma$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function),

- $\exists N \triangleleft H \leq G$ s.t. $\sigma \in H \setminus N$ and

(C1) any element of G conjugate to σ belongs to H ,

(C2) if $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in H$ and

$\text{ord}(\nu_1) = \text{ord}(\nu_2) = \text{ord}(\sigma)$, then

$\overline{\nu_1 \nu_2} = \overline{\nu_2 \nu_1}$ where $\overline{\cdot}: H \rightarrow H/N$ is the natural projection,

then $\nexists F$.

(Proof) $\bar{\sigma} = \overline{\rho_{i_1}} \neq 1$.

(Proof) $\bar{\sigma} = \overline{\rho_{i_1}} \neq 1$.

If \exists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$, this is also a solution over H s.t. $\text{ord}(\tau_j) = \text{ord}(\sigma)$ by the conjugacy condition and (C1).

(Proof) $\bar{\sigma} = \overline{\rho_{i_1}} \neq 1$.

If \exists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$, this is also a solution over H s.t. $\text{ord}(\tau_j) = \text{ord}(\sigma)$ by the conjugacy condition and (C1).

$\therefore (\overline{\tau_1}, \dots, \overline{\tau_\ell})$ is a solution over H/N (w/ $\overline{\rho_i}$ instead of ρ_i), while all $\overline{\tau_j}$ commute by (C2).

(Proof) $\bar{\sigma} = \overline{\rho_{i_1}} \neq 1$.

If \exists solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$, this is also a solution over H s.t. $\text{ord}(\tau_j) = \text{ord}(\sigma)$ by the conjugacy condition and (C1).

$\therefore (\overline{\tau_1}, \dots, \overline{\tau_\ell})$ is a solution over H/N (w/ $\overline{\rho_i}$ instead of ρ_i), while all $\overline{\tau_j}$ commute by (C2).

Such a solution is denied by Lemma 4.

Contradiction. □

Theorem 8

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} = \sigma \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), and G is finite and solvable, then $\nexists F$.

Theorem 8

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} = \sigma \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), and G is finite and solvable, then $\nexists F$.

(Proof) Let $G = G^{(0)} > G^{(1)} > \dots > G^{(n)} = 1$
($G^{(k)} = [G^{(k-1)}, G^{(k-1)}]$) be the derived series of G .
Note that $G^{(k)} \triangleleft G$.

Theorem 8

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} = \sigma \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), and G is finite and solvable, then $\nexists F$.

(Proof) Let $G = G^{(0)} > G^{(1)} > \dots > G^{(n)} = 1$
($G^{(k)} = [G^{(k-1)}, G^{(k-1)}]$) be the derived series of G .
Note that $G^{(k)} \triangleleft G$.

As $\sigma \neq 1$, $\exists k < n$ s.t. $\sigma \in G^{(k)} \setminus G^{(k+1)}$.

Theorem 8

If $\exists i_1 \neq i_2$ s.t. $\rho_{i_1} = \rho_{i_2} = \sigma \neq 1$ and $\mu_{i_2} = \mu_{i_1} + 1$ (e.g., our target function), and G is finite and solvable, then $\nexists F$.

(Proof) Let $G = G^{(0)} > G^{(1)} > \dots > G^{(n)} = 1$
($G^{(k)} = [G^{(k-1)}, G^{(k-1)}]$) be the derived series of G .
Note that $G^{(k)} \triangleleft G$.

As $\sigma \neq 1$, $\exists k < n$ s.t. $\sigma \in G^{(k)} \setminus G^{(k+1)}$.

Then for Lemma 7 with $H := G^{(k)}$ and $N := G^{(k+1)}$,
(C1) holds as $G^{(k)} \triangleleft G$, and (C2) holds as
 $G^{(k)}/G^{(k+1)}$ is Abelian, so Lemma 7 works. □

Lemma 9

If $\exists N \triangleleft G$ s.t. $\sigma \in N$ and $G = NZ_G(\sigma)$ ($Z_G(\sigma)$: centralizer of σ), and if \exists solution over G w/ conj. cond., then \exists solution over N w/ conj. cond.

Lemma 9

If $\exists N \triangleleft G$ s.t. $\sigma \in N$ and $G = NZ_G(\sigma)$ ($Z_G(\sigma)$: centralizer of σ), and if \exists solution over G w/ conj. cond., then \exists solution over N w/ conj. cond.

(Proof) For solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ over G , write $\tau_i = u_i \sigma u_i^{-1}$.

Lemma 9

If $\exists N \triangleleft G$ s.t. $\sigma \in N$ and $G = NZ_G(\sigma)$ ($Z_G(\sigma)$: centralizer of σ), and if \exists solution over G w/ conj. cond., then \exists solution over N w/ conj. cond.

(Proof) For solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ over G , write $\tau_i = u_i \sigma u_i^{-1}$. Write $u_i = h_i z_i$, $h_i \in N$, $z_i \in Z_G(\sigma)$.

Lemma 9

If $\exists N \triangleleft G$ s.t. $\sigma \in N$ and $G = NZ_G(\sigma)$ ($Z_G(\sigma)$: centralizer of σ), and if \exists solution over G w/ conj. cond., then \exists solution over N w/ conj. cond.

(Proof) For solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ over G , write $\tau_i = u_i \sigma u_i^{-1}$. Write $u_i = h_i z_i$, $h_i \in N$, $z_i \in Z_G(\sigma)$. Then $\tau_i = h_i z_i \sigma z_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} = h_i \sigma h_i^{-1} \in N$.

Lemma 9

If $\exists N \triangleleft G$ s.t. $\sigma \in N$ and $G = NZ_G(\sigma)$ ($Z_G(\sigma)$: centralizer of σ), and if \exists solution over G w/ conj. cond., then \exists solution over N w/ conj. cond.

(Proof) For solution $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ over G , write $\tau_i = u_i \sigma u_i^{-1}$. Write $u_i = h_i z_i$, $h_i \in N$, $z_i \in Z_G(\sigma)$. Then $\tau_i = h_i z_i \sigma z_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} = h_i \sigma h_i^{-1} \in N$.
 $\therefore (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ is a solution over N w/ conj. cond. □

Corollary 10

Let $n \geq 5$ and $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3) \in A_n$. If \exists our target function over S_n , then \exists our target function over A_n .

Corollary 10

Let $n \geq 5$ and $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3) \in A_n$. If \exists our target function over S_n , then \exists our target function over A_n .

(Proof) As $A_n \triangleleft S_n$, $[S_n : A_n] = 2$, and $(4\ 5) \in Z_{S_n}(\sigma) \setminus A_n$, we have $S_n = A_n Z_{S_n}(\sigma)$.
Apply Lemma 9. □

Corollary 10

Let $n \geq 5$ and $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3) \in A_n$. If \exists our target function over S_n , then \exists our target function over A_n .

(Proof) As $A_n \triangleleft S_n$, $[S_n : A_n] = 2$, and $(4\ 5) \in Z_{S_n}(\sigma) \setminus A_n$, we have $S_n = A_n Z_{S_n}(\sigma)$.
Apply Lemma 9. □

By this corollary and Theorem 8, we consider A_5 instead of S_5 as the underlying group.

Theorem 11

Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$. Then \nexists our target function F of size 3 over A_5 .

Theorem 11

Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$. Then \nexists our target function F of size 3 over A_5 .

(Proof) Assume $\exists F$ of exponent (e_1, e_2, e_3) ,
 $e_i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Let (τ_1, τ_2, τ_3) be the corresponding solution for the equations.

Theorem 11

Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$. Then \nexists our target function F of size 3 over A_5 .

(Proof) Assume $\exists F$ of exponent (e_1, e_2, e_3) ,
 $e_i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Let (τ_1, τ_2, τ_3) be the corresponding solution for the equations.

As $F(\sigma) = F(\sigma^2) = \sigma$, we have

$$\tau_1^{e_1} \tau_2^{e_2} \tau_3^{e_3} = \sigma = \tau_1^{2e_1} \tau_2^{2e_2} \tau_3^{2e_3}.$$

Theorem 11

Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$. Then \nexists our target function F of size 3 over A_5 .

(Proof) Assume $\exists F$ of exponent (e_1, e_2, e_3) ,
 $e_i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Let (τ_1, τ_2, τ_3) be the corresponding solution for the equations.

As $F(\sigma) = F(\sigma^2) = \sigma$, we have

$$\tau_1^{e_1} \tau_2^{e_2} \tau_3^{e_3} = \sigma = \tau_1^{2e_1} \tau_2^{2e_2} \tau_3^{2e_3}.$$

$$\therefore \tau_2^{-e_2} \tau_1^{e_1} \tau_2^{e_2} = \tau_3^{-e_3} \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2} \text{ are cyclic permutations of length 3.}$$

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2}$ are cyclic permutations of length 3.

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2}$ are cyclic permutations of length 3.

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(I) When $\{b_1, b_2\} \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2}$ are cyclic permutations of length 3.

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(I) When $\{b_1, b_2\} \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

$\exists H \leq S_5$ s.t. $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in H \simeq S_4$.

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2}$ are cyclic permutations of length 3.

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(I) When $\{b_1, b_2\} \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

$$\exists H \leq S_5 \text{ s.t. } \nu_1, \nu_2 \in H \simeq S_4.$$

$$\therefore \tau_1^{e_1} = \nu_2^{-1} \nu_1 \nu_2^2 \in H.$$

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2}$ are cyclic permutations of length 3.

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(I) When $\{b_1, b_2\} \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

$$\exists H \leq S_5 \text{ s.t. } \nu_1, \nu_2 \in H \simeq S_4.$$

$$\therefore \tau_1^{e_1} = \nu_2^{-1} \nu_1 \nu_2^2 \in H.$$

As $\tau_1 \in \langle \tau_1^{e_1} \rangle$, $\tau_2 \in \langle \nu_2 \rangle$, $\tau_3 \in \langle \nu_1 \rangle$, we have

$$\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in H \text{ and } \sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \in H.$$

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2}$ are cyclic permutations of length 3.

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(I) When $\{b_1, b_2\} \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

$$\exists H \leq S_5 \text{ s.t. } \nu_1, \nu_2 \in H \simeq S_4.$$

$$\therefore \tau_1^{e_1} = \nu_2^{-1} \nu_1 \nu_2^2 \in H.$$

As $\tau_1 \in \langle \tau_1^{e_1} \rangle$, $\tau_2 \in \langle \nu_2 \rangle$, $\tau_3 \in \langle \nu_1 \rangle$, we have

$$\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in H \text{ and } \sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \in H.$$

They are in $H \simeq S_4$ and have order 3, so they are conjugate to σ in H .

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

$$\therefore \nu_1 \nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_1^{e_1} \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{e_1}, \nu_1 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_3},$$

$\nu_2 \sim_{\text{conj}} \sigma^{-e_2}$ are cyclic permutations of length 3.

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(I) When $\{b_1, b_2\} \cap \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

$$\exists H \leq S_5 \text{ s.t. } \nu_1, \nu_2 \in H \simeq S_4.$$

$$\therefore \tau_1^{e_1} = \nu_2^{-1} \nu_1 \nu_2^2 \in H.$$

As $\tau_1 \in \langle \tau_1^{e_1} \rangle$, $\tau_2 \in \langle \nu_2 \rangle$, $\tau_3 \in \langle \nu_1 \rangle$, we have

$$\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in H \text{ and } \sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \in H.$$

They are in $H \simeq S_4$ and have order 3, so they are conjugate to σ in H .

$\therefore \exists$ solution over $H \simeq S_4$, contradicting Theorem 8.

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(II) When $\{b_1, b_2\} = \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(II) When $\{b_1, b_2\} = \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

Now $\nu_1 \nu_2(c_2) = b_1$, $\nu_1 \nu_2(b_1) = b_2$,

$\nu_1 \nu_2(b_2) = a \neq c_2$, so $\nu_1 \nu_2$ cannot be a cyclic permutation of length 3. Contradiction. □

Case of Size 3 over A_5

$$\nu_2 \tau_1^{e_1} \nu_2^{-1} = \nu_1 \nu_2, \nu_1 := \tau_3^{-e_3}, \nu_2 := \tau_2^{-e_2}.$$

Write $\nu_1 = (a \ b_1 \ b_2)$, $\nu_2 = (a \ c_1 \ c_2)$.

(II) When $\{b_1, b_2\} = \{c_1, c_2\} \neq \emptyset$:

Now $\nu_1 \nu_2(c_2) = b_1$, $\nu_1 \nu_2(b_1) = b_2$,

$\nu_1 \nu_2(b_2) = a \neq c_2$, so $\nu_1 \nu_2$ cannot be a cyclic permutation of length 3. Contradiction. □

By this theorem and Corollary 5, the smallest possible size of our target function over A_5 is 4.

Lemma 12

Any two cyclic permutations ρ, ν of length 3 are conjugate in A_5 .

(Proof) Take a transposition $\tau \in S_5$ s.t. $\rho\tau = \tau\rho$. For $\nu = u\rho u^{-1}$ with $u \in S_5$, $\nu = (u\tau)\rho(u\tau)^{-1}$, and either u or $u\tau$ is in A_5 as $[S_5 : A_5] = 2$. □

Corollary 13

Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$. If \exists our target function of size ℓ and exponent (e_1, \dots, e_ℓ) over A_5 s.t. $e_i \in \{1, 2\}$, then \exists our target function of size ℓ and exponent $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ over A_5 .

Corollary 13

Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$. If \exists our target function of size ℓ and exponent (e_1, \dots, e_ℓ) over A_5 s.t. $e_i \in \{1, 2\}$, then \exists our target function of size ℓ and exponent $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ over A_5 .

(Proof) As $\tau_i^{e_i} \sim_{\text{conj}} \tau_i$ by Lemma 12, $(\tau_1^{e_1}, \dots, \tau_\ell^{e_\ell})$ is a solution of the equations corresponding to exponent $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. □

We search (by SageMath) for a solution of the equations over A_5 corresponding to our target function of size 4 (cf. Corollary 5 and Theorem 11) and exponent $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ (cf. Corollary 13), where $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)$:

$$\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau_4 = \tau_1^2\tau_2^2\tau_3^2\tau_4^2 = (1\ 2\ 3) .$$

We found

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &:= (2\ 4\ 5), \tau_2 := (1\ 5\ 4) , \\ \tau_3 &:= (3\ 4\ 5), \tau_4 := (2\ 5\ 4) .\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\tau_1 = (1\ 2\ 4\ 3\ 5)\sigma(1\ 2\ 4\ 3\ 5)^{-1} ,$$

$$\tau_2 = (1\ 5\ 2\ 4\ 3)\sigma(1\ 5\ 2\ 4\ 3)^{-1} ,$$

$$\tau_3 = (1\ 3\ 5\ 2\ 4)\sigma(1\ 3\ 5\ 2\ 4)^{-1} ,$$

$$\tau_4 = (1\ 2\ 5\ 3\ 4)\sigma(1\ 2\ 5\ 3\ 4)^{-1} .$$

Then by following the proof of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) := & (1\ 2\ 4\ 3\ 5)x(1\ 3\ 5)x \\ & \cdot (1\ 4\ 3)x(1\ 5)(2\ 3)x(1\ 4\ 3\ 5\ 2) , \end{aligned}$$

simpler than the previously known F (of size 6 and exponent $(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2)$).

- (Im)possibility of our target function over other groups
- Construction of compact HE over a finite non-solvable group G (hopefully $G = A_5$)

- [Barrington et al., 1990] D. A. M. Barrington, H. Straubing, D. Thérien: Non-Uniform Automata over Groups, Information and Computation, 1990
- [Choi et al., 2007] S.-J. Choi, S. R. Blackburn, P. R. Wild: Cryptanalysis of a Homomorphic Public-Key Cryptosystem over a Finite Group, Journal of Mathematical Cryptology, vol.1, pp.351–358, 2007
- [van Dijk et al., 2010] M. van Dijk, C. Gentry, S. Halevi, V. Vaikuntanathan: Fully Homomorphic Encryption over the Integers, EUROCRYPT 2010

- [Gentry, 2009] C. Gentry: Fully homomorphic encryption using ideal lattices, STOC 2009
- [Grigoriev & Ponomarenko, 2004] D. Grigoriev, I. Ponomarenko: Homomorphic Public-Key Cryptosystems over Groups and Rings, in: Complexity of Computations and Proofs, Quaderni di Matematica 13, Dept. of Mathematics, Seconda Università di Napoli, Caserta, 2004, pp.305–325

- [N., 2021] K. Nuida: Towards Constructing Fully Homomorphic Encryption without Ciphertext Noise from Group Theory, in: International Symposium on Mathematics, Quantum Theory, and Cryptography, Mathematics for Industry book series vol.33, Springer, pp.57–78, 2021
- [N., arXiv 2022] K. Nuida: On Compression Functions over Groups with Applications to Homomorphic Encryption, arXiv:2208.02468
- [Ostrovsky & Skeith III, 2008] R. Ostrovsky, W. E. Skeith III: Communication Complexity in Algebraic Two-Party Protocols, CRYPTO 2008